

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/606,538	06/26/2003	Anders Magnusson	12389-004001 / PD53566US0	5773
26191 FISH & RICH	7590 06/12/2008 ARDSON P.C.	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 1022			HAND, MELANIE JO	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3761	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/606,538	MAGNUSSON, ANDERS	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MELANIE J. HAND	3761	

	MELANIE J. HAND	3761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 27 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notic replies: (1) an amendment, aff eal (with appeal fee) in complia	e of Appeal. To avoid abar idavit, or other evidence, v ince with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the n b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN).	nailing date of the final rejection THE FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been flied is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding am hortened statutory period for reply than three months after the mailin	ount of the fee. The appropri- originally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see w);	NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by material	ly reducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally	y rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	the rejection of claims 1-3, 7-	10, 76-78 under 35 U.S.C.	<u>112</u> .
7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		will be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3.7-10.66-68 and 72-81. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under a and was not earlier presented	ppeal and/or appellant fail i. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims af	er entry is below or attach	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application	on in condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	_	
/Tatyana Zalukaeva/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3761	/Melanie J Hand/ Examiner, Art Unit 3	761	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's amendment to independent claims 1 and 66 positively recites an additional structural element for use with the claimed catheter, i.e. an introducer. The claims as amended also recite an interaction between the stop member and the newly recited introducer. Applicant also amended claims 1 and 79 to more clearly recite the interaction between the stop member and introducer that causes the claimed loop to be formed. These amendments require further search and consideration after a final action has been mailed.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's remarks regarding the rejection of claims 66-68, 73 and 74 under 59 U.S.C. 102 rely upon the newly recited introducer to differentiate between the claimed invention and the prior and Brennan. Specifically, the perceived point of novelty of the claimed invention is that the insertion action alone causes the claimed toop toe formed by interaction between the claimed stop member and the introducer. However, since the claims as previously written did not recite either the introducer or the interaction between said introducer and the stop member, the remarks are considered herein to be directed to an amendment that has not been entered.

Applicant's arguments regarding the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103 appear to be based upon arguments presentesd with respect to claim 1 and are thus also directed to an amendment that has not been entered.